

RATING STANDARD GUIDELINES

THE BSG OUTSTANDING SCOUT AND GUIDE HUNT

1. An outline of all accomplishments covering the areas for selection (academic performance, institutional and community service, and leadership through Scouting activities) must be submitted.
Hence, there would be no maximum number of activities/honors/commendations that would be evaluated.
2. In determining the Scouts & Guides who would qualify to the next higher selection process based on the allocation set by the National Headquarters, the following system of Forced-Ranking should be used:
 - 2.1 Judges must first assess independently the level of accomplishment for each criteria (Non-Scouting component, Scouting component, interview) based on the following qualitative bracketing standard (QBS) to facilitate the forced-ranking process; hence, there is no limit to the number of Scouts who may receive an A, B, or C:
 - A - High commendation
 - B - Moderate commendation
 - C - Low commendation
 - 2.2 After determining the levels of accomplishments of all the Scouts & Guides -nominees by each of the judges, they are enjoined to deliberate on nominees merits to determine the *collegially-arrived* qualitative quality bracket level of Scouts & Guides accomplishments. Their collective and objective assessment thereof will avoid a purely 'mathematical' determination of the nominees merits in the selection process.
 - 2.3 Nominees having the same qualitative bracket level must be forced-ranked accordingly, beginning with the A-level
For example, there are five Scout-nominees & five Guide-nominees, with the following qualitative bracket level values as decided collegially by the members of the selection process:

| | |
|------------------|------------------|
| Scout A- A level | Guide A- A level |
| Scout B- A level | Guide B- A level |
| Scout C- B level | Guide C- B level |
| Scout D- B level | Guide D- B level |
| Scout E- C level | Guide E- C level |
 - 2.4 Ranks 1 and 2 are to be given to Scouts A or B, having both A levels. Scouts C and D, on the other hand, could only be entitled to ranks 3 and 4, while Scout E, having the lowest quality level, should be ranked 5th.
Hence, while individual judges would be rating independently according to the qualitative bracket level, the overall rankings based on forced-ranking method should be determined *by conferral* among them, to be transcribed/recorded/summarized by the District Secretary/ State Secretary/Assistant Director of the Region concerned as secretariat of the search process.
Ranks obtained by the Scout-nominees should be weighted in accordance with the percentage allocation for each criterion in determining the overall ranking in the District, State, Regional and National Search levels.
3. The following standard rating sheets in evaluating the nominees accomplishments are as follows:

